UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,211	03/26/2004	Udo Bickers	09879-00043-US	8656
	7590 04/14/200 SOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2207		BROWN, COURTNEY A		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,211	BICKERS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
COURTNEY BROWN	1616	

	COURTNEY BROWN	1616						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 March 2009. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or at Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better than the second sec	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	TE below);						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(, .					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	, , , , ,	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616	4/09/09							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments filed March 18, 2009 do not place any of the claims in condition for allowance because the prior rejection filed December 18, 2008 still meets the limitations and the arguments are not persuasive to overcome the rejection. In the Office Action filed November 9, 2007, the teaching of Walters et al. was used to teach the limition of claim 9 (see page 9 of 11/9/07 Office Action). In the claims filed May 9, 2008, claim 9 was cancelled and therefore the teaching of Walters et al. was no longer needed. The teaching of Bussler et al. was used in the Office Action filed September 18, 2009 to teach the limitations of newly added claims 30 and 31 (see page 8 of 9/18/08 Office Action).